

"THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY"

For 1878.

NOW READY.

This work now in the REVENUE year of its existence, is ready for delivery.

It has been compiled and printed at the Daily Press Office, as usual, from the best and most authentic sources, and no pains have been spared to make the work complete in all respects.

In addition to the usual variety and voluminous information, the value of the "CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY FOR 1878" has been further augmented by a

CHROMO-LITHOGRAPH

OF THE

FOREIGN SETTLEMENTS OF SHANGHAI.

In addition to a Chromo-Lithograph Plate of the

NEW CODE OF SIGNALS IN USE AT THE PEAK.

also of

THE VARIOUS HOUSE PLACES

(Designed expressly for this work)

MAPS OF HONGKONG, JAPAN, AND OF THE

THE COAST OF CHINA.

besides other local information and statistics corrected to date of publication, tending to make this work in every way suitable for Public, Mercantile, and General Offices.

The Directory is published in Two Forms. Complete at \$5; or with the Lists of Residents, Port Directories, Maps, &c., at \$8.

Orders for Copies may be sent to the Daily Press Office, or to the following Agents—

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

Agents—Messrs. J. P. de SILVA & Co.,

logical and necessary result of this change will be the reunion of the two branches.

At present, the three firms of Attorneys have more work than they can do, and each firm has a partner at home, drawing no doubt, a handsome income from the Colony for doing nothing, while there are no less than seven barristers in the Colony in comparative idleness, through the absurd restrictions of etiquette.

It is, therefore, no wonder that the public, finding it utterly vain to expect legal dignitaries to move in the matter, have set to work to remedy the evil themselves.

Clients will now not be compelled to employ and pay two men to do the work of one in any business except actual litigation, and the only difference that amalgamation will make, will be that litigation will be included in the wholesome rule, and that there will then be no exception.

We may conclude by stating that the only systems known in the British Dominions so far as we can learn, are, first, the English system, and secondly amalgamation. The first prevails in England and India, in Queensland, in South Australia and Victoria, in Australia, and in each of these places except England, it is confined entirely to capital towns, and the system of amalgamation prevails entirely in New South Wales, Tasmania, Western Australia, as well as in Singapore and Shanghai, and in none of these is there any dissatisfaction expressed on the subject. These facts seem to show that the old fashioned system of a separate bar is a luxury that can only be afforded upon very large cities, and even they will dispense with it before long. In the words of JOHN STUART MILL, "The despotism of custom is on the wane; we are not content to know what things are; we ask whether they ought to be."

The steamers *Yamaguchi* and *Messager* arrived at Shanghai on the 11th instant.

The M. M. steamer *Amazone*, with the French Mails, left Saigon for this port on the 11th inst., at 8 p.m.

The half-yearly general meeting of the shareholders in the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank will take place on Friday, at 3 p.m.

The performance of the Lewis' Dramatic Company on Monday gave great satisfaction to a well filled house, and was fully up to the excellent standard of entertainment for which they have secured a reputation. The pieces were of a light character, and were given with great spirit throughout. The first comedy, "The Ladies' Battle," was a first-class piece of the French school, and afforded excellent opportunity for the display of the performers' powers. Mrs. Lewis, with the assistance of Mr. Horley, acted with the highest cleverness and vivacity, and the characters of Flavius and De Grignon were very well respectively. Miss Rogers, as Leonie, acted with much grace and simplicity. The second piece was an extremely amusing farce, which kept the audience in a roar throughout. All the performers acted with great spirit, and the evening was rendered most agreeable. The drama, "The City of Dreadful Night," was given between Miss Nye and Miss Rogers, was loudly enjoyed.

SUMMARY JURISDICTION COURT.

August 12th.

BEFORE HIS HONOUR T. O. HAYLAK.

TAN CHUEN and OTHERS v. L. BARNES.—This was a claim for \$200, for goods sold and delivered. Defendant did not appear, and judgment was given for the plaintiff.

G. R. LAMMIE v. THE SAILOR.—Claim for \$85.52, for goods sold and delivered. Defendant did not appear, and judgment was given for the plaintiff.

THE SAILOR v. BARNES.—Claim for \$92, for goods sold and delivered. Defendant did not appear, and judgment was given for the plaintiff.

S. E. MANOCHIE v. H. A. BARNES.—This was a claim for \$7, for assisting the defendant in a case at the Police Court as interpreter. The plaintiff said, in reply to the Court, that he went to the Police Court, and interpreted privately for the defendant, but that he did not speak to the defendant in Hindustani; and the latter denied, saying he only understood Arabic. His Honour asked the interpreter to speak to him in Hindustani, as he did not understand that language. He answered in broken English that he did not understand. On the above evidence being tendered to him in Hindustani, he said he did not understand anything but English.

It being impossible to procure an Arabic Interpreter, the case was adjourned.

WOLFE v. J. M. EMANUEL.—This was a claim for \$105, for rent of land. Mr. Wolfe appeared for the plaintiff. The case was heard at last sitting of the Court, and the question was whether defendant was occupying the land, and if so, whether he was liable for the rent. There was no evidence on the part of the plaintiff, but there was nothing stated upon it.

Looyuk, rent collector for the plaintiff, stated that after receiving the letter of the 24th inst., he went to the premises. There were wooden spars and planks stored upon it. He saw signs of Spent & Co.'s people at work, but did not speak to them. He went inside the yard, and saw the goods. He returned on the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the plaintiff.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

To the defendant.—He cannot recollect whether he asked for the rent for April, May, June or July, but he did ask for rent. As far as he knew, he did not ask for rent for those months. He had gone to the premises in the morning of the 2nd inst., and saw that there were wooden spars upon the ground. He saw the defendant and asked for the rent. He replied that he would not pay the rent.

20

.. Bengal	12.00	11.50
..	1.90	1.85
..	1.90	1.85
..	3.75	3.60
..	2.40	2.50
..	2.20	2.25
..	1.50	1.55
..	1.45	1.40
..	2.85	2.90
..	2.80	3.10
..	1.75	1.80
..	1.50	1.55
..	2.10	2.15
..	2.10	2.15
..	6.80	7.00
..	14.00	11.50
..	14.00	14.50
..	12.00	12.50

WINDY GLASS,	per box.	4.50	4.75
STRAITS PUTTER, &c.,			
RAFFIA,	per 500 lbs.	5.00	5.75
Banja,		4.50	4.75
PEPPER, White,		22.00	22.25
Black,		14.00	14.50
RUPEE NAT,		3.00	3.50
SAFETY WOOL Malabar,		3.85	4.00
South Sene,		17.00	18.00
SAFETY WOOL, India,		1.90	2.00
Siam,		2.25	2.40
FLORA, California, per sack of 60 lbs.,		1.10	1.20
American, per barrel of 300 lbs.,		8.50	6.00
California,	per sal.	1.95	2.10
SEGA, Santos white, 1 & 3		0.75	0.80
D. Brown,		3.50	3.60
Wool, Wash,		2.00	2.10
Manilla, Dry White,		4.20	4.50

CAMPBELL, Dick, Brown,	12	3,750	8,500
CARR, White,	12	14,800	15,000
31 Green,	12	9,250	5,400
31 Black,	12	1,000	1,060
31 Yellow,	12	5,350	2,000
STURGEON,	12	25,800	27,000
STANFORD, Green,	12	1,800	2,100
31 Out,	12	2,750	3,900
MUMFORD, Dry Steam,	12	9,300	12,000
31 Yellow, small,	12	6,800	7,500
VERMIGHELL, Tustin,	12	8,000	6,800
BENI WAK, Japan,	12	10,000	10,000
31 Hankow,	12	62,000	63,000
TONS	Consistency	Destination.	

CANTON.		
g-b.		
cor.		
g-b.		
g-b.		
g-b.		
cor.		
g-b.		
MACAO.		
1680	Order	
385	Thos. Howard & Co	
750	Ed. Sebellhass & Co	Java

303	Order
774	Order
1150	Order
700	Order
903	Fernando Taton
1746	Wm. Pinatau & Co
680	Royal & Co
1089	Wm. Pinatau & Co
311	Rozario & Co
140.	A. Heard & Co., Agents

ing between Canton and Macao.

SWATOW.

report on 7th August, 1873.

844	Frowin & Co
844	W. S. S. S. S.

Chas. Co.

150	Bradley & Co	Ugler
333	B. Vincent & Co	Laid up
80	Frewin & Co	Keelung
296	E. Vincent & Co	Tag Plying

AMOY.
In port 30th July, 1873.

256	Petersen & Co
207	Petersen & Co
197	Petersen & Co
336	Elles & Co
171	Pasedag & Co
200	Pasedag & Co
334	Petersen & Co
782	Boyd & Co

In Dock

231	Pasudag & Co	
213	Petersen & Co	
189	Petersen & Co	<i>In Debt</i>
326	Order	<i>In Debt</i>
100	Quinow	Ningsa
256	Pasudag & Co	
190	Pasudag & Co	
390	Brown & Co	
181	Pasudag & Co	
687	Pasudag & Co	
630	Boyd & Co	
158	Boyd & Co	
463	Boyd & Co	
132	Pasudag & Co	

BOOKHOW

In port on 1st August, 1873.		
232	Butterfield & Swire	Sydney
1070	Augustine Heard & Co	London
583	Muster	Shanghai
274	Butterfield & Swire	Melbourne
349	Butterfield & Swire	
399	Simsco & Co	
621	Gilman & Co	London
1049	Darmon, Bell & Co	London
457	Muster	
724	Jardine, Matheson & Co	London
366	Arsenal	
834	Turner & Co	London
293	Darmon, Bell & Co	Melbourne
199	Jardine, Matheson & Co	Australia

SHANGHAI.
In port on 26th July, 1873.
*Names of the numerous steamers, tugs,
 lighters, and receiving hulks employed
 at this wharf are not included in this*

494	Frazier & Co	
548	Muster	
548	Frazier & Co	
628	Olyphant & Co	
	Smith, Archer & Co	
917	Gibb, Livingston & Co	London
567	Fung Hing	
516	Olyphant & Co	
376	J. S. Baroa	

587	Mazur & Co	
321	Edward Schellhaas & Co	
384	Siemssen & Co	
623	Wm. Postau & Co	
337	A. Deut & Co	
	Frazer & Co	
211	E. Schellhaas & Co	
623	Adamson, Bell & Co	
723	Augustine Ward & Co	
326	Bonjua & Co	
337	Wm. Postau & Co	
544	Fung Hing	
384	Order	
723	Frazer & Co	
1161	Adamson, Bell & Co	
		Higgs London London

426	Morris, Lewis & Co	
193	Turner & Co	
981	Rotwill, Love & Co	
426	Morris, Lewis & Co	
332	E. Sobellhaus & Co	
850	Turner & Co	London
411	Drysdale, Ringer & Co	

YOKOHAMA.
Left on 33rd July, 1873.

215	Zieler & Co
195	Walsh, Hall & Co
1258	Kniffler & Co
481	Van Oordt & Co
686	Shaw & Co
685	Captain
215	Walsh, Hall & Co

360	Stewart, Seymour & Co
370	Captain
150	Netherlands Trading Co
153	M. M. Co
670	M. M. Co.
464	P. & O. Co
369	M. Vernet
447	Hudson, Malcolm & Co
211	Hudson, Malcolm & Co

ANILIA HARBOUR.

Tonn.	Cousigneers.
-------	--------------

330	Kerr & Co
571	F. Richardson & Co
470	P. Reyes
889	Z. I. de Almeida
535	Smith, Ball & Co
1159	Pede, Hubbard & Co
820	P. Reyes
948	Smith, Ball & Co
374	Pede, Hubbard & Co
799	T. Hermann & Co

H. Burt, Wyndham-Street, Hongkong.
